arXiv:1407.0430v2 [math.OC] 8 Jan 2016

Linear Quadratic Non-Zero Sum Differential Games of Backward Stochastic Differential Equations with Asymmetric Information

Guangchen Wang* Hua Xiao† Jie Xiong‡

January 11, 2016

Abstract

This paper focuses on a linear quadratic non-zero sum differential game problem derived by backward stochastic differential equation with asymmetric information, which is a natural continuation of Wang and Yu [11,12]. Different from [11,12], novel motivations for studying this kind of game are provided first. Then some feedback Nash equilibrium points are uniquely obtained by forward-backward stochastic differential equations, their filters and the corresponding Riccati equations.

Key words: Asymmetric information; Backward stochastic differential equation; Feedback Nash equilibrium point; Filtering; Non-zero sum differential game.

1 Introduction

Stochastic differential game plays an important role in many fields. Many researchers investigated this problem under various setups [1, 3, 9, 14]. Recently, Wang and Yu [11] studied a non-zero sum differential game of nonlinear backward stochastic differential equation (BSDE). Later, in [12], they generalized the game problem in [11] to the partial information case, and obtained an open-loop Nash equilibrium point for a linear quadratic (LQ) game with same observable information. In some situations of real markets, say, insider trading, one decision maker may get more information than the others, and then, this agent can make a better decision than the others. It implies that asymmetric information has effect on the decision making. Such a kind of effect is pervasive in reality, but is usually ignored in literature. To fill in the gap, this paper initiates the study of an LQ non-zero sum differential game of BSDE with asymmetric information. The study of the LQ game can be regarded as a first step to investigate such a kind of differential game with asymmetric information.

*School of Control Science and Engineering, Shandong University, Jinan 250061, China (wguangchen@sdu.edu.cn). This author acknowledges the support in part from the NSF of China under Grants 11371228, 61422305 and 61304130, by the NSF for Distinguished Young Scholars of Shandong Province of China under Grant JQ201418, by the Program for New Century Excellent Talents in University of China under Grant NCET-12-0338, and by the Research Fund for the Taishan Scholar Project of Shandong Province of China.

†Corresponding Author. School of Mathematics and Statistics, Shandong University, Weihai 264209, China (xiao_hua@sdu.edu.cn). This author acknowledges the financial support from the NSF of China under Grants 11471192 and 61573217.

‡Department of Mathematics, University of Macau, Taipa Macau, China (jiexiong@umac.mo, jxiong@math.utk.edu). This author acknowledges the financial support from MYRG2014-00015-FST.

This paper is related to Chang and Xiao [2] and Shi,Wang and Xiong [10]. Chang and Xiao [2] discussed an LQ stochastic differential game with asymmetric information, and Shi, Wang and Xiong [10] studied a leader-follower stochastic differential game with asymmetric information, but both of them are within the framework of stochastic differential equation (SDE) rather than BSDE. Note that BSDE is essentially different from SDE in their construction and properties, which results in the difference between the game of SDE and the game of BSDE. This paper is also related to [4, 6–8, 15–17], where asymmetric information is not considered. Therefore, this paper should be distinguished from the exiting references about stochastic differential game.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce novel examples to explain the motivations of this paper. In Section 3, an LQ game of BSDE with asymmetric information is formulated in detail and an open-loop Nash equilibrium point is derived. Section 4 is devoted to solving some concrete examples of the LQ game. Feedback Nash equilibrium points are uniquely obtained by the filters of forward-backward stochastic differential equations (FBSDEs). Some concluding remarks are given in Section 5.

2 Motivations

Let us begin with a complete filtered probability space $(\Omega, \mathscr{F}, (\mathscr{F}_t)_{0\leq t\leq T}, \mathbb{P})$, in which $\mathscr{F}_t$ denotes a natural filtration generated by a two dimensional standard Brownian motion $w(t) = (w_1(t), w_2(t))^*$, let $\mathscr{F} = \mathscr{F}_T$, let $\mathbb{E}$ be the expectation with respect to $\mathbb{P}$, and let $T > 0$ be a fixed time horizon. We denote by the superscript $*$ the transpose of vectors or matrices, by $|\cdot|$ the norm, and by $\mathscr{F}_t^X$ the filtration generated by a stochastic process X, i.e., $\mathscr{F}_t^X = \sigma\big\{X(s), 0 \leq s \leq t\big\}$. We call $\mathbb{E}\big[h(t)|\mathscr{F}_t^X\big]$ the optimal filter of $h(t)$ with respect to $\mathscr{F}_t^X$.

We introduce a few motivating examples with Markov settings, which are equivalent to stochastic differential games derived by non-Markov BSDEs with observable information generated by Brownian motions.

Example 2.1. Consider a controlled BSDE

$$\begin{cases} -dy^{v_1,v_2}(t) = g\big(t, y^{v_1,v_2}(t), z_1^{v_1,v_2}(t), z_2^{v_1,v_2}(t), v_1(t), v_2(t)\big)dt \\ \qquad\qquad - z_1^{v_1,v_2}(t)dw_1(t) - z_2^{v_1,v_2}(t)dw_2(t), \\ y^{v_1,v_2}(T) = x(T) \end{cases} \tag{1}$$

with

$$\begin{cases} dx(t) = b\big(t, x(t)\big)dt + \delta_1(t)dw_1(t) + \delta_2(t)dw_2(t), \\ x(0) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Here δ_1 and δ_2 are uniformly bounded and deterministic; b and g are deterministic and satisfy certain conditions which guarantee the existence and uniqueness of solution to (1); and v_1 and v_2 are control processes for the player 1 and the player 2, respectively. Note that x is not controlled, and y^{v_1,v_2} is coupled with x at the terminal time T. Cost functional for the player i $(i = 1, 2)$ is of the form

$$J_i\big(v_1(\cdot), v_2(\cdot)\big) = \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}\left\{\int_0^T l_i\big(t, y^{v_1,v_2}(t), z_1^{v_1,v_2}(t), z_2^{v_1,v_2}(t), v_1(t), v_2(t)\big)dt + r_i\big(y^{v_1,v_2}(0)\big)\right\}, \tag{2}$$

where l_i and r_i are deterministic, and satisfy certain integrability conditions. Assume that the player 1 has access to the full information $\mathscr{F}_t$, i.e., the player 1 selects his/her control process v_1

according to $\mathscr{F}_t$. However, the player 2 can only partially observe the state $(x, y^{v_1,v_2}, z_1^{v_1,v_2}, z_2^{v_1,v_2})$ through a noisy process

$$\begin{cases} dW_2(t) = h\big(t, x(t)\big)dt + dw_2(t), \\ W_2(0) = 0, \end{cases} \tag{3}$$

where h is deterministic and uniformly bounded. Define the admissible control sets

$$\mathscr{X}_1 = \{v_1(\cdot); v_1(t) \text{ is } \mathscr{F}_t\text{-adapted and square integrable}\}$$

and

$$\mathscr{X}_2 = \left\{v_2(\cdot); v_2(t) \text{ is } \mathscr{F}_t^{W_2}\text{-adapted and square integrable}\right\},$$

respectively. Then the game problem is stated as follows.

Problem (A). Find a pair of admissible controls (u_1, u_2) such that

$$\begin{cases} J_1(u_1(\cdot), u_2(\cdot)) = \min\limits_{v_1(\cdot)\in\mathscr{X}_1} J_1(v_1(\cdot), u_2(\cdot)), \\ J_2(u_1(\cdot), u_2(\cdot)) = \min\limits_{v_2(\cdot)\in\mathscr{X}_2} J_2(u_1(\cdot), v_2(\cdot)), \end{cases}$$

subject to (1), (2) and (3).

In the sequel, we wish to simplify Problem (A) by an equivalent transformation. Set

$$\rho_1(t) = \exp\left\{-\int_0^t h(s, x(s))dw_2(s) - \frac{1}{2}\int_0^t |h(s, x(s))|^2 ds\right\} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{d\mathbb{Q}}{d\mathbb{P}}\Big|_{\mathscr{F}_T} = \rho_1(T).$$

Since h is bounded, Girsanov theorem implies that $\mathbb{Q}$ is a new probability measure, and thus (w_1, W_2) is a standard Brownian motion under $\mathbb{Q}$. Plugging (3) into (1), we have

$$\begin{cases} -dy^{v_1,v_2}(t) = \big[g\big(t, y^{v_1,v_2}(t), z_1^{v_1,v_2}(t), z_2^{v_1,v_2}(t), v_1(t), v_2(t)\big) + h(t, x(t))z_2^{v_1,v_2}(t)\big]dt \\ \qquad\qquad - z_1^{v_1,v_2}(t)dw_1(t) - z_2^{v_1,v_2}(t)dW_2(t), \\ y^{v_1,v_2}(T) = x(T) \end{cases} \tag{4}$$

with

$$\begin{cases} dx(t) = \big(b\big(t, x(t)\big) - \delta_2(t)h(t, x(t))\big)dt + \delta_1(t)dw_1(t) + \delta_2(t)dW_2(t), \\ x(0) = 0. \end{cases}$$

On the other hand,

$$\rho_1^{-1}(t) = \exp\left\{\int_0^t h(s, x(s))dW_2(s) - \frac{1}{2}\int_0^t |h(s, x(s))|^2 ds\right\}.$$

Then (2) is rewritten as

$$\breve{J}_i\big(v_1(\cdot), v_2(\cdot)\big) = \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}\left\{\int_0^T \rho_1^{-1}(t) l_i\big(t, y^{v_1,v_2}(t), z_1^{v_1,v_2}(t), z_2^{v_1,v_2}(t), v_1(t), v_2(t)\big)dt \right.$$
$$\left. + r_i\big(y^{v_1,v_2}(0)\big)\right\}. \tag{5}$$

We can check that $\mathscr{F}_t = \mathscr{F}_t^{w_1,W_2}$. So $\mathscr{X}_1$ is equivalent to the admissible control set

$$\mathscr{Y}_1 = \Big\{ v_1(\cdot); v_1(t) \text{ is an } \mathscr{F}_t^{w_1,W_2}\text{-adapted and square-integrable process} \Big\}.$$

Now Problem (A) can be equivalently stated as follows.

Problem (A'). Find a pair of admissible controls (u_1, u_2) such that

$$\begin{cases} \breve{J}_1(u_1(\cdot), u_2(\cdot)) = \min\limits_{v_1(\cdot)\in\mathscr{Y}_1} \breve{J}_1(v_1(\cdot), u_2(\cdot)), \\ \breve{J}_2(u_1(\cdot), u_2(\cdot)) = \min\limits_{v_2(\cdot)\in\mathscr{X}_2} \breve{J}_2(u_1(\cdot), v_2(\cdot)), \end{cases}$$

subject to (4)-(5).

Recall the admissible control sets $\mathscr{Y}_1$ and $\mathscr{X}_2$. Problem (A') is a non-zero sum stochastic differential game of non-Markov BSDE with asymmetric Brownian motion observation.

Remark 2.1. Assume that two players partially observe the state $(x, y^{v_1,v_2}, z_1^{v_1,v_2}, z_2^{v_1,v_2})$ and get the same observable information W_2 in Example 2.1. Similarly, we can formulate a non-zero sum game of BSDE and equivalently transform it into one with the same Brownian motion observation. The details of the deduction is omitted for simplicity.

Example 2.2. Let the state and the cost functional be same as (1) and (2), respectively. Suppose that $(x, y_1^{v_1,v_2}, z_1^{v_1,v_2}, z_2^{v_1,v_2})$ is only partially observed by the player i $(i = 1, 2)$ through

$$\begin{cases} dW_i(t) = \bar{h}_i\big(t, x(t)\big)dt + \sum\limits_{j=1}^{2} \sigma_{ij} dw_j(t), \\ W_i(0) = 0, \end{cases} \tag{6}$$

respectively. Here $\bar{h}_i$ is uniformly bounded, and $\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{11} & \sigma_{12} \\ \sigma_{21} & \sigma_{22} \end{pmatrix}$ is an invertible constant matrix. Admissible control set for the player i is defined by

$$\mathscr{V}_i = \Big\{ v_i(\cdot); v_i(t) \text{ is } \mathscr{F}_t^{W_i}\text{-adapted and square integrable} \Big\}.$$

Then the game problem is

Problem (B). Find a pair of admissible controls (u_1, u_2) such that

$$\begin{cases} J_1(u_1(\cdot), u_2(\cdot)) = \min\limits_{v_1(\cdot)\in\mathscr{V}_1} J_1(v_1(\cdot), u_2(\cdot)), \\ J_2(u_1(\cdot), u_2(\cdot)) = \min\limits_{v_2(\cdot)\in\mathscr{V}_2} J_2(u_1(\cdot), v_2(\cdot)), \end{cases}$$

subject to (1), (2) and (6).

To simplify Problem (B), we set

$$\bar{h}(t, x(t)) = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{h}_1\big(t, x(t)\big) \\ \bar{h}_2\big(t, x(t)\big) \end{pmatrix}, \qquad W(t) = \begin{pmatrix} W_1(t) \\ W_2(t) \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\bar{\sigma} = \sigma^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\sigma}_{11} & \bar{\sigma}_{12} \\ \bar{\sigma}_{21} & \bar{\sigma}_{22} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \bar{c}(t, x(t)) = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{c}_1\big(t, x(t)\big) \\ \bar{c}_2\big(t, x(t)\big) \end{pmatrix} = \bar{\sigma}\bar{h}(t, x(t)),$$

$$\bar{w}(t) = w(t) + \int_0^t \bar{c}(s, x(s))ds, \quad \rho_2(t) = \exp\Big\{ -\int_0^t \bar{c}^*(s, x(s))dw(s) - \frac{1}{2}\int_0^t |\bar{c}(s, x(s))|^2 ds \Big\}.$$

Let $\frac{d\bar{\mathbb{P}}}{d\mathbb{P}}\Big|_{\mathscr{F}_T} = \rho_2(T)$. Similarly, $\bar{\mathbb{P}}$ is a new probability measure, and consequently, $\bar{w}$ is a standard Brownian motion under $\bar{\mathbb{P}}$. Then

$$dW(t) = \sigma d\bar{w}(t), \quad dw(t) = \bar{\sigma} dW(t) - \bar{c}(t, x(t))dt.$$

We also set

$$X = x, Y^{v_1,v_2} = y^{v_1,v_2}, Z_1^{v_1,v_2} = \bar{\sigma}_{11} z_1^{v_1,v_2} + \bar{\sigma}_{21} z_2^{v_1,v_2}, Z_2^{v_1,v_2} = \bar{\sigma}_{12} z_1^{v_1,v_2} + \bar{\sigma}_{22} z_2^{v_1,v_2}.$$

With the notations above, (1) is equivalently rewriten as

$$\begin{cases} -dY^{v_1,v_2}(t) = \bar{g}\big(t, X(t), Y^{v_1,v_2}(t), Z_1^{v_1,v_2}(t), Z_2^{v_1,v_2}(t), v_1(t), v_2(t)\big)dt \\ \qquad\qquad - Z_1^{v_1,v_2}(t)dW_1(t) - Z_2^{v_1,v_2}(t)dW_2(t), \\ Y^{v_1,v_2}(T) = X(T) \end{cases} \tag{7}$$

with

$$\begin{cases} dX(t) = \big(b\big(t, X(t)\big) - \delta_1(t)\bar{c}_1\left(t, X(t)\right) - \delta_2(t)\bar{c}_2(t, X(t))\big)\, dt \\ \qquad\quad + \left(\bar{\sigma}_{11}\delta_1(t) + \bar{\sigma}_{21}\delta_2(t)\right) dW_1(t) + \left(\bar{\sigma}_{12}\delta_1(t) + \bar{\sigma}_{22}\delta_2(t)\right) dW_2(t), \\ X(0) = 0, \end{cases}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{g} =& g\left(t, Y^{v_1,v_2}(t), \frac{\bar{\sigma}_{22}Z_1^{v_1,v_2}(t) - \bar{\sigma}_{21}Z_2^{v_1,v_2}(t)}{\bar{\sigma}_{11}\bar{\sigma}_{22} - \bar{\sigma}_{12}\bar{\sigma}_{21}}, \frac{\bar{\sigma}_{11}Z_2^{v_1,v_2}(t) - \bar{\sigma}_{12}Z_1^{v_1,v_2}(t)}{\bar{\sigma}_{11}\bar{\sigma}_{22} - \bar{\sigma}_{12}\bar{\sigma}_{21}}, v_1(t), v_2(t)\right) \\ &+ \bar{c}_1(t, X(t))\frac{\bar{\sigma}_{22}Z_1^{v_1,v_2}(t) - \bar{\sigma}_{21}Z_2^{v_1,v_2}(t)}{\bar{\sigma}_{11}\bar{\sigma}_{22} - \bar{\sigma}_{12}\bar{\sigma}_{21}} + \bar{c}_2(t, X(t))\frac{\bar{\sigma}_{11}Z_2^{v_1,v_2}(t) - \bar{\sigma}_{12}Z_1^{v_1,v_2}(t)}{\bar{\sigma}_{11}\bar{\sigma}_{22} - \bar{\sigma}_{12}\bar{\sigma}_{21}}. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, we assume that σ is orthogonal in order to guarantee that W is also a standard Brownian motion under $\bar{\mathbb{P}}$, under which (7) is a non-Markov BSDE. On the other hand, (2) is rewritten as

$$\bar{J}_i\big(v_1(\cdot), v_2(\cdot)\big) = \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}_{\bar{\mathbb{P}}}\left\{\int_0^T \bar{l}_i\big(t, X(t), Y^{v_1,v_2}(t), Z_1^{v_1,v_2}(t), Z_2^{v_1,v_2}(t), v_1(t), v_2(t)\big)dt \right. \\ \left. + r_i\big(Y^{v_1,v_2}(0)\big)\right\}, \tag{8}$$

where $\mathbb{E}_{\bar{\mathbb{P}}}$ denotes the expectation under $\bar{\mathbb{P}}$,

$$\bar{l}_i = \rho_2^{-1}(t) l_i\left(t, Y^{v_1,v_2}(t), \frac{\bar{\sigma}_{22}Z_1^{v_1,v_2}(t) - \bar{\sigma}_{21}Z_2^{v_1,v_2}(t)}{\bar{\sigma}_{11}\bar{\sigma}_{22} - \bar{\sigma}_{12}\bar{\sigma}_{21}}, \frac{\bar{\sigma}_{11}Z_2^{v_1,v_2}(t) - \bar{\sigma}_{12}Z_1^{v_1,v_2}(t)}{\bar{\sigma}_{11}\bar{\sigma}_{22} - \bar{\sigma}_{12}\bar{\sigma}_{21}}, v_1, v_2\right)$$

and

$$\rho_2^{-1}(t) = \exp\left\{\int_0^t \bar{c}^*(s, X(s))\bar{\sigma} dW(s) - \frac{1}{2}\int_0^t |\bar{c}^*(s, X(s))|^2 ds\right\}.$$

Now Problem (B) is equivalently stated as follows.

Problem (B'). Find a pair of admissible controls (u_1, u_2) such that

$$\begin{cases} \bar{J}_1(u_1(\cdot), u_2(\cdot)) = \min\limits_{v_1(\cdot)\in\mathscr{V}_1} \bar{J}_1(v_1(\cdot), u_2(\cdot)), \\ \bar{J}_2(u_1(\cdot), u_2(\cdot)) = \min\limits_{v_2(\cdot)\in\mathscr{V}_2} \bar{J}_2(u_1(\cdot), v_2(\cdot)), \end{cases}$$

subject to (7)-(8).

This is also a non-zero sum stochastic differential game of non-Markov BSDE, but with independent Brownian motion observation.

3 Problem formulation and equilibrium points

Let $\mathscr{G}_t^i \subseteq \mathscr{F}_t$ be a given sub-filtration, which represents the information available to the player $i\,(i=1,2)$ up to the time t. If $\mathscr{G}_t^i = \mathscr{F}_t$ (resp. $\mathscr{G}_t^i \subset \mathscr{F}_t$), we call the information available to the player i *complete* (resp. *partial*). If $\mathscr{G}_t^1 \neq \mathscr{G}_t^2$ (resp. $\mathscr{G}_t^1 = \mathscr{G}_t^2$), we call the information available to two players *asymmetric* (resp. *symmetric*). For simplicity, we usually omit the terminology "complete information".

3.1 Problem formulation

Motivated by the above examples, we initiate the study of an LQ non-zero sum differential game of BSDE with asymmetric information in this paper.

Consider the controlled linear BSDE

$$\begin{cases} -dy^{v_1,v_2}(t) = \left[a(t)y^{v_1,v_2}(t) + b_1(t)v_1(t) + b_2(t)v_2(t) + \sum_{j=1}^{2} f_j(t)z_j^{v_1,v_2}(t) + c(t)\right]dt \\ \qquad\qquad - z_1^{v_1,v_2}(t)dw_1(t) - z_2^{v_1,v_2}(t)dw_2(t), \\ y^{v_1,v_2}(T) = \xi, \end{cases} \tag{9}$$

and the cost functional

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_i\big(v_1(\cdot),v_2(\cdot)\big) = \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}\Big\{\int_0^T \Big[l_i(t)\big(y^{v_1,v_2}(t) - k_i(t)\big)^2 + m_i(t)\big(v_i(t) - n_i(t)\big)^2\Big]\,dt \\ + r_i\big(y^{v_1,v_2}(0) - h_i\big)^2\Big\} \quad (i=1,2). \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

Here $a, b_1, b_2, f_1, f_2, c, k_1, k_2, n_1$ and n_2 are uniformly bounded and $\{\mathscr{F}_t, 0 \le t \le T\}$-adapted; h_1 and h_2 are given constants; l_1, l_2, m_1 and m_2 are positive, uniformly bounded and $\{\mathscr{F}_t, 0 \le t \le T\}$-adapted; r_1 and r_2 are two nonnegative constants; ξ is an $\mathscr{F}_T$-measurable and square-integrable random variable; $v_1(\cdot)$ and $v_2(\cdot)$ are the control processes of the player 1 and the player 2, respectively. We always use the subscript 1 (resp. the subscript 2) to characterize the control variable corresponding to the player 1 (resp. the player 2) and use the notation $(y^{v_1,v_2}, z_1^{v_1,v_2}, z_2^{v_1,v_2})$ to denote the dependence of the state on the control (v_1, v_2). Introduce the admissible control set for the player i

$$\mathscr{U}_i = \big\{v_i |\, v_i(t) \text{ is } \mathscr{G}_t^i\text{-adapted and square-integrable}\big\}\ (i=1,2).$$

Each element of $\mathscr{U}_i$ is called an open-loop admissible control for the player $i\,(i=1,2)$. And $\mathscr{U}_1 \times \mathscr{U}_2$ is said to be the set of open-loop admissible controls for the players. Suppose that each player i hopes to minimize her/his cost functional $\mathcal{J}_i(v_1(\cdot), v_2(\cdot))$ by selecting a suitable admissible control $v_i(\cdot)\,(i=1,2)$. Then the problem is to look for $(u_1(\cdot), u_2(\cdot)) \in \mathscr{U}_1 \times \mathscr{U}_2$, which is called the Nash equilibrium point of the game, such that

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{J}_1(u_1(\cdot), u_2(\cdot)) = \min\limits_{v_1(\cdot)\in\mathscr{U}_1} \mathcal{J}_1(v_1(\cdot), u_2(\cdot)), \\ \mathcal{J}_2(u_1(\cdot), u_2(\cdot)) = \min\limits_{v_2(\cdot)\in\mathscr{U}_2} \mathcal{J}_1(u_1(\cdot), v_2(\cdot)). \end{cases} \tag{11}$$

We call the game problem an LQ non-zero sum stochastic differential game of BSDE with asymmetric information. For simplicity, we denote the problem by **Problem (AI)**, and abbreviate $(y^{u_1,u_2}, z_1^{u_1,u_2}, z_2^{u_1,u_2})$ by (y, z_1, z_2).

Our target is to provide necessary and sufficient conditions for the Nash equilibrium points of Problem (AI). We are also interested in solving the Nash equilibrium points in the feedback form of the filtered states for Problem (AI). Since the information $\mathscr{G}_t^i$ available to the player $i\,(i = 1, 2)$ is only an abstract sub-filtration of $\mathscr{F}_t$, it is impossible to obtain feedback Nash equilibrium points. Therefore, some detailed structures for $\mathscr{G}_t^i\,(i = 1, 2)$ are desirable to reach the goal. Hence, we consider the following special $\mathscr{G}_t^i\,(i = 1, 2)$, which are inspired by Remark 2.1., Examples 2.1 and 2.2 in Section 2, respectively.

(i) $\mathscr{G}_t^1 = \mathscr{G}_t^2 = \mathscr{F}_t^{w_2}$, i.e., two players have access to the same observation information.

(ii) $\mathscr{G}_t^1 = \mathscr{F}_t$ and $\mathscr{G}_t^2 = \mathscr{F}_t^{w_2}$, i.e., one player has more information at any time than the other player.

(iii) $\mathscr{G}_t^1 = \mathscr{F}_t^{w_1}$ and $\mathscr{G}_t^2 = \mathscr{F}_t^{w_2}$, i.e., two players have independent observation information and do not share all of their information with each other.

Note that the case (i) is similar but different from Section 3 in [12], and provides some preliminary results for solving the cases (ii) and (iii). For this reason, we will study it again in this paper carefully.

3.2 Equilibrium points

Appealing to the related maximum principles in Huang *et al* [5], or Wang and Yu [12], we derive the necessary condition for the Nash equilibrium points of Problem (AI).

Proposition 3.1 *If (u_1, u_2) is a Nash equilibrium point of Problem (AI), then*

$$\begin{cases} u_1(t) = \dfrac{\mathbb{E}\left(b_1(t)x_1(t)|\mathscr{G}_t^1\right)}{\mathbb{E}\left(m_1(t)|\mathscr{G}_t^1\right)} + \dfrac{\mathbb{E}\left(m_1(t)n_1(t)|\mathscr{G}_t^1\right)}{\mathbb{E}\left(m_1(t)|\mathscr{G}_t^1\right)}, \\ u_2(t) = \dfrac{\mathbb{E}\left(b_2(t)x_2(t)|\mathscr{G}_t^2\right)}{\mathbb{E}\left(m_2(t)|\mathscr{G}_t^2\right)} + \dfrac{\mathbb{E}\left(m_2(t)n_2(t)|\mathscr{G}_t^2\right)}{\mathbb{E}\left(m_2(t)|\mathscr{G}_t^2\right)}, \end{cases} \tag{12}$$

where $\big((y, z_1, z_2), x_1, x_2\big)$ is a solution of the FBSDE

$$\begin{cases} -dy(t) = \Bigg[a(t)y(t) + b_1(t)\dfrac{\mathbb{E}\left(b_1(t)x_1(t)|\mathscr{G}_t^1\right)}{\mathbb{E}\left(m_1(t)|\mathscr{G}_t^1\right)} + b_2(t)\dfrac{\mathbb{E}\left(b_2(t)x_2(t)|\mathscr{G}_t^2\right)}{\mathbb{E}\left(m_2(t)|\mathscr{G}_t^2\right)} + \sum_{j=1}^{2} f_j(t)z_j(t) \\ \qquad\quad + b_1(t)\dfrac{\mathbb{E}\left(m_1(t)n_1(t)|\mathscr{G}_t^1\right)}{\mathbb{E}\left(m_1(t)|\mathscr{G}_t^1\right)} + b_2(t)\dfrac{\mathbb{E}\left(m_2(t)n_2(t)|\mathscr{G}_t^2\right)}{\mathbb{E}\left(m_2(t)|\mathscr{G}_t^2\right)} + c(t)\Bigg]dt \\ \qquad\quad - z_1(t)dw_1(t) - z_2(t)dw_2(t), & \text{(13a)} \\ dx_1(t) = \big[a(t)x_1(t) - l_1(t)(y(t) - k_1(t))\big]dt + f_1(t)x_1(t)dw_1(t) + f_2(t)x_1(t)dw_2(t), & \text{(13b)} \\ dx_2(t) = \big[a(t)x_2(t) - l_2(t)(y(t) - k_1(t))\big]dt + f_1(t)x_2(t)dw_1(t) + f_2(t)x_2(t)dw_2(t), & \text{(13c)} \\ y(T) = \xi, \quad x_1(0) = -r_1(y(0) - h_1), \quad x_2(0) = -r_2(y(0) - h_2). & \text{(13d)} \end{cases}$$

Applying the technique of completing square, we have the sufficient condition for the Nash equilibrium points of Problem (AI).

Proposition 3.2 (u_1, u_2) *in* (12) *is indeed a Nash equilibrium point of Problem (AI).*

Proof. For any $v_1(\cdot) \in \mathscr{U}_1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&J_1\big(v_1(\cdot), u_2(\cdot)\big) - J_1\big(u_1(\cdot), u_2(\cdot)\big) \\
=&\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}\int_0^T \Big[l_1(t)\big(y^{v_1,u_2}(t) - y(t)\big)^2 + m_1(t)\big(v_1(t) - u_1(t)\big)^2\Big]\, dt \\
&+ \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}\Big[r_1\big(y^{v_1,u_2}(0) - y(0)\big)^2\Big] + \Theta,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\Theta =&\mathbb{E}\int_0^T \big[l_1(t)(y(t) - k_1(t)\big)\big(y^{v_1,u_2}(t) - y(t)\big) + m_1(t)\big(u_1(t) - n_1(t)\big)\big(v_1(t) - u_1(t)\big)\big]\, dt \\
&+ \mathbb{E}\big[r_1\big(y(0) - h_1\big)\big(y^{v_1,u_2}(0) - y(0)\big)\big].
\end{aligned}$$

Applying Itô's formula to $x_1(y^{v_1,\,u_2} - y)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\Theta =& \mathbb{E}\int_0^T \big(m_1(t)(u_1(t) - n_1(t)) - b_1(t)x_1(t)\big)\big(v_1(t) - u_1(t)\big)dt \\
=& \mathbb{E}\int_0^T \mathbb{E}\big[\big(m_1(t)(u_1(t) - n_1(t)) - b_1(t)x_1(t)\big)\big(v_1(t) - u_1(t)\big)\big|\mathscr{G}_t^1\big]\, dt \\
=& \mathbb{E}\int_0^T \mathbb{E}\big[\big(m_1(t)u_1(t) - m_1(t)n_1(t) - b_1(t)x_1(t)\big)|\mathscr{G}_t^1\big]\,(v_1(t) - u_1(t))dt \\
=& 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Then it follows from the nonnegativity of l_1, m_1 and r_1 that

$$J_1\big(v_1(\cdot), u_2(\cdot)\big) - J_1\big(u_1(\cdot), u_2(\cdot)\big) \geq 0.$$

Similarly, for any $v_2(\cdot) \in \mathscr{U}_2$, we also have

$$J_2\big(u_1(\cdot), v_2(\cdot)\big) - J_2\big(u_1(\cdot), u_2(\cdot)\big) \geq 0.$$

Therefore, (u_1, u_2) in (12) is a Nash equilibrium point of Problem (AI). □

Combining Propositions 3.1 and 3.2, we get the sufficient and necessary condition for the Nash equilibrium points of Problem (AI).

Proposition 3.3 (u_1, u_2) *is a Nash equilibrium point for Problem (AI) if and only if* (u_1, u_2) *is in the form of* (12)*, and* $\big((y, z_1, z_2), x_1, x_2\big)$ *satisfies* (13)*.*

Remark 3.1 *If* (13) *has a unique solution, Problem (AI) has a unique Nash equilibrium point. If* (13) *has many solutions, Problem (AI) may have many Nash equilibrium points. If* (13) *has no solution, Problem (AI) has no Nash equilibrium point. Namely, the existence and uniqueness of Nash equilibrium point for Problem (AI) is equivalent to the existence and uniqueness of* (13)*.*

4 Special cases

In this section, we study some special cases of Problem (AI) with Markov setting, i.e., all coefficients in (9) and (10) are deterministic functions of t. We denote $\dot{\alpha}(t) = \frac{d\alpha(t)}{dt}$ for a deterministic function $\alpha(\cdot)$ of t throughout the following sections. Using the filters of BSDEs and Ricatti equations, we derive some feedback Nash equilibrium points.

4.1 Special symmetric information: $\mathscr{G}_t^1 = \mathscr{G}_t^2 = \mathscr{F}_t^{w_2}$

First, we introduce two ordinary differential equations (ODEs, for short) with respect to the time variable t

$$\begin{cases} \dot{\alpha}_1 - b_1^2 m_1^{-1}\alpha_1^2 - (2a + f_2^2)\alpha_1 - b_2^2 m_2^{-1}\alpha_1\alpha_2 + l_1 = 0, & \text{(14a)} \\ \dot{\beta}_1 - (a + b_1^2 m_1^{-1}\alpha_1 + f_2^2)\beta_1 - b_2^2 m_2^{-1}\alpha_1\beta_2 \\ \qquad\qquad -(b_1 n_1 + b_2 n_2 + c)\alpha_1 - l_1 k_1 = 0, & \text{(14b)} \\ \alpha_1(0) = -r_1,\ \beta_1(0) = r_1 h_1 & \text{(14c)} \end{cases}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} \dot{\alpha}_2 - b_2^2 m_2^{-1}\alpha_2^2 - (2a + f_2^2)\alpha_2 - b_1^2 m_1^{-1}\alpha_1\alpha_2 + l_2 = 0, & \text{(15a)} \\ \dot{\beta}_2 - (a + b_2^2 m_2^{-1}\alpha_2 + f_2^2)\beta_2 - b_1^2 m_1^{-1}\alpha_2\beta_1 \\ \qquad\qquad -(b_1 n_1 + b_2 n_2 + c)\alpha_2 - l_2 k_2 = 0, & \text{(15b)} \\ \alpha_2(0) = -r_2,\ \beta_2(0) = r_2 h_2, & \text{(15c)} \end{cases}$$

which will be derived step by step in Theorem 4.1. Note that we omit the variable t in (14a), (14b), (15a) and (15b) for simplicity. Similar convention will be taken for the subsequent ODEs, SDEs, BSDEs and FBSDEs except for the initial or terminal conditions.

Throughout Section 4, we always assume that

(A1). $b_1^2(t)m_1^{-1}(t) = b_2^2(t)m_2^{-1}(t)$ and $f_1(t) = 0,\ \forall t \in [0,T]$.

Here we state in particular that $b_1^2(t)m_1^{-1}(t) = b_2^2(t)m_2^{-1}(t)$ is a sufficient condition to make the solutions of (14) and (15) existent and unique, and the condition (A1) makes the optimal filter of $\big(y, z_1, z_2, x_1, x_2\big)$ in (13) with respect to $\mathscr{F}_t^{w_2}$ also existent and unique.

Lemma 4.1 *Under (A1), there exists a unique solution* $(\alpha_1, \beta_1, \alpha_2, \beta_2)$ *to* (14) *and* (15).

Proof. Let $\alpha = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2$. It follows from (A1) that

$$\dot{\alpha} - b_1^2 m_1^{-1}\alpha^2 - (2a + f_2^2)\alpha + l_1 + l_2 = 0 \quad \text{on } (0,T], \quad \alpha(0) = -(r_1 + r_2). \tag{16}$$

Since (16) is a standard Riccati equation, it has a unique solution $\alpha(\cdot)$. Introduce two auxiliary equations

$$\dot{\bar{\alpha}}_1 + \big[(2a + f_2^2) - b_1^2 m_1^{-1}\alpha\big]\,\bar{\alpha}_1 + l_1 = 0 \quad \text{on } (0,T], \quad \dot{\bar{\alpha}}_1(0) = -r_1, \tag{17}$$

$$\dot{\bar{\alpha}}_2 + \big[(2a + f_2^2) - b_2^2 m_2^{-1}\alpha\big]\,\bar{\alpha}_2 + l_2 = 0 \quad \text{on } (0,T], \quad \dot{\bar{\alpha}}_2(0) = -r_2, \tag{18}$$

where α is the solution to (16). Obviously, (17) and (18) have unique solutions $\bar{\alpha}_1$ and $\bar{\alpha}_2$, respectively. In addition, we can check that α_1 and α_2 in (14a) and (15a) are also the solutions to (17) and (18), respectively. From the uniqueness of solution of (17) with (18), it follows that

$$\bar{\alpha}_1 = \alpha_1,\ \bar{\alpha}_2 = \alpha_2,$$

which implies in turn that (14a) and (15a) have the unique solutions α_1 and α_2, respectively.

Let $\beta = \beta_1 + \beta_2$ and $\beta(0) = r_1h_1 + r_2h_2$. We have

$$\dot{\beta} - (a + b_1^2 m_1^{-1}\alpha + f_2^2)\beta - (b_1n_1 + b_2n_2 + c)\alpha - l_1k_1 - l_2k_2 = 0 \quad \text{on } (0,T], \tag{19}$$

where α is the solution to (16). Note that (19) has a unique solution β. Introduce

$$\dot{\bar{\beta}}_1 - (a + f_2^2)\bar{\beta}_1 - b_2^2 m_2^{-1}\alpha_1\beta - (b_1n_1 + b_2n_2 + c)\alpha_1 - l_1k_1 = 0 \quad \text{on } (0,T] \tag{20}$$

with $\bar{\beta}_1(0) = r_1h_1$ and

$$\dot{\bar{\beta}}_2 - (a + f_2^2)\bar{\beta}_2 - b_1^2 m_1^{-1}\alpha_2\beta - (b_1n_1 + b_2n_2 + c)\alpha_2 - l_2k_2 = 0 \quad \text{on } (0,T] \tag{21}$$

with $\bar{\beta}_2(0) = r_2h_2$, where α_1, α_2 and β are the solutions to (17), (18) and (19), respectively. Similarly, we can prove that (14b) and (15b) also have unique solutions β_1 and β_2 satisfying

$$\bar{\beta}_1 = \beta_1,\ \bar{\beta}_2 = \beta_2.$$

Based on the arguments above, we can derive the unique analytical expressions for α_1, α_2, β_1, β_2, α and β. Then the proof is completed. □

Theorem 4.1 *Under (A1), the unique Nash equilibrium point is*

$$\begin{cases} u_1(t) = m_1^{-1}(t)b_1(t)\big(\alpha_1(t)\tilde{y}(t) + \beta_1(t)\big) + n_1(t), \\ u_2(t) = m_2^{-1}(t)b_2(t)\big(\alpha_2(t)\tilde{y}(t) + \beta_2(t)\big) + n_2(t), \end{cases} \tag{22}$$

where $\tilde{h}(t) = \mathbb{E}\left(h(t)\big|\mathscr{F}_t^{w_2}\right)$, $\tilde{y}$ *is given by* (40) *below, and* α_i *and* $\beta_i\,(i = 1, 2)$ *satisfy* (14) *and* (15).

Proof: **(i)** We first prove that the Nash equilibrium point (u_1, u_2) is uniquely determined by

$$\begin{cases} u_1(t) = m_1^{-1}(t)b_1(t)\tilde{x}_1(t) + n_1(t), \\ u_2(t) = m_2^{-1}(t)b_2(t)\tilde{x}_2(t) + n_2(t), \end{cases} \tag{23}$$

where $\big((y, z_1, z_2), x_1, x_2\big)$ is the solution of the FBSDE

$$\begin{cases} -dy = \Big[ay + b_1^2 m_1^{-1}\tilde{x}_1 + b_2^2 m_2^{-1}\tilde{x}_2 + f_2z_2 + b_1n_1 + b_2n_2 + c\Big]dt - \sum_{j=1}^{2} z_j dw_j, & \text{(24a)} \\ dx_1 = \big[ax_1 - l_1(y - k_1)\big]dt + f_2x_1dw_2, & \text{(24b)} \\ dx_2 = \big[ax_2 - l_2(y - k_2)\big]dt + f_2x_2dw_2, & \text{(24c)} \\ y(T) = \xi, \quad x_1(0) = -r_1(y(0) - h_1), \quad x_2(0) = -r_2(y(0) - h_2). & \text{(24d)} \end{cases}$$

According to (A1), Proposition 3.3 and Remark 3.1, it is enough to prove the existence and uniqueness of (24). The proof is divided into three steps.

Step 1: Filtering equations.

Note that (24a) depends on the filtering $\tilde{x}_i$. Then we need to compute the filter $\big(\tilde{y}, \tilde{z}_2, \tilde{x}_1, \tilde{x}_2\big)$ of $\big(y, z_2, x_1, x_2\big)$ with respect to $\mathscr{F}_t^{w_2}$. Using Lemma 5.4 in Xiong [13] to (24), we get

$$\begin{cases} -d\tilde{y} = \big[a\tilde{y} + b_1^2 m_1^{-1}\tilde{x}_1 + b_2^2 m_2^{-1}\tilde{x}_2 + f_2\tilde{z}_2 + b_1 n_1 + b_2 n_2 + c\big]dt - \tilde{z}_2 dw_2, & (25a)\\ d\tilde{x}_1 = \big[a\tilde{x}_1 - l_1(\tilde{y} - k_1)\big]dt + f_2\tilde{x}_1 dw_2, & (25b)\\ d\tilde{x}_2 = \big[a\tilde{x}_2 - l_2(\tilde{y} - k_2)\big]dt + f_2\tilde{x}_2 dw_2, & (25c)\\ \tilde{y}(T) = \mathbb{E}\left(\xi|\mathscr{F}_T^{w_2}\right), \quad \tilde{x}_1(0) = -r_1(\tilde{y}(0) - h_1), \quad \tilde{x}_2(0) = -r_2(\tilde{y}(0) - h_2). & (25d) \end{cases}$$

Step 2: Existence and uniqueness of (25).

We introduce an FBSDE

$$\begin{cases} -dp = \big(ap + b_1^2 m_1^{-1} n + f_2 q + b_1 n_1 + b_2 n_2 + c\big)dt - q dw_2,\\ dn = \big(an - (l_1 + l_2)p + l_1 k_1 + l_2 k_2\big)dt + f_2 n dw_2,\\ p(T) = \mathbb{E}\left(\xi|\mathscr{F}_T^{w_2}\right), \quad n(0) = -(r_1 + r_2)p(0) + r_1 h_1 + r_2 h_2. \end{cases} \tag{26}$$

If $\big((\tilde{y}, \tilde{z}_2), \tilde{x}_1, \tilde{x}_2\big)$ is a solution to (25), then (n, p, q) is a solution to (26), where we set

$$p = \tilde{y},\ q = \tilde{z}_2,\ n = \tilde{x}_1 + \tilde{x}_2.$$

On the other hand, let (p, q, n) be a solution to (26). Introduce an SDE

$$\begin{cases} dN_1 = \big[aN_1 - l_1(p - k_1)\big]dt + f_2 N_1 dw_2,\\ dN_2 = \big[aN_2 - l_2(p - k_2)\big]dt + f_2 N_2 dw_2,\\ N_1(0) = -r_1(p(0) - h_1), \quad N_2(0) = -r_2(p(0) - h_2), \end{cases} \tag{27}$$

which has a unique solution (N_1, N_2) with $N_1 + N_2 = n$. Furthermore, we can check that $\big((p, q), N_1, N_2\big)$ is a solution to (25). It implies that the existence and uniqueness of (25) is equivalent to that of (26). It is easy to check that (26) has a unique solution (p, q, n) (see Theorem 2.3 in Yu and Ji [16]). So does (25).

Step 3: Existence and uniqueness of (24).

Let $\big((\tilde{y}, \tilde{z}_2), \tilde{x}_1, \tilde{x}_2\big)$ be the unique solution to (25). For the fixed $\tilde{x}_1$ and $\tilde{x}_2$, we can prove that (24) has a unique solution by some arguments similar to Step 2.

(ii) In order to get the feedback Nash equilibrium point, we have to establish the relationship between $\tilde{y}$ and $\tilde{x}_i\,(i = 1, 2)$. Noting the terminal condition of (24), we set

$$x_i = \alpha_i y + \beta_i \tag{28}$$

with $\alpha_i(0) = -r_i$ and $\beta_i(0) = r_i h_i, i = 1, 2$. Applying Itô's formula to x_1 in (28) subject to (24a), we obtain

$$dx_1 = \Big[(\dot{\alpha}_1 - a\alpha_1)y - b_1^2 m_1^{-1}\alpha_1\tilde{x}_1 - b_2^2 m_2^{-1}\alpha_1\tilde{x}_2 - f_2\alpha_1 z_2 + \dot{\beta}_1 - (b_1 n_1 + b_2 n_2 + c)\alpha_1\Big]dt + \sum_{j=1}^{2}\alpha_1 z_j dw_j. \tag{29}$$

Substituting (28) into (24b) and comparing the coefficients between (24b) and (29), we have

$$z_1 = 0, \quad z_2 = f_2\alpha_1^{-1}x_1 \equiv f_2 y + f_2\alpha_1^{-1}\beta_1, \tag{30}$$

$$\Big(\dot{\alpha}_1 - (2a + f_2^2)\alpha_1 + l_1\Big)y - b_1^2 m_1^{-1}\alpha_1\tilde{x}_1 - b_2^2 m_2^{-1}\alpha_1\tilde{x}_2 + \dot{\beta}_1 - \Big(a + f_2^2\Big)\beta_1 \\ - (b_1 n_1 + b_2 n_2 + c)\alpha_1 - l_1 k_1 = 0. \quad (31)$$

Taking $\mathbb{E}\left[\cdot|\mathscr{F}_t^{w_2}\right]$ on both sides of (28), (30) and (31), it yields

$$\tilde{x}_i = \alpha_i\tilde{y} + \beta_i,\ i = 1, 2, \quad (32)$$

$$\tilde{z}_1 = 0, \quad \tilde{z}_2 = f_2\alpha_1^{-1}\tilde{x}_1 \equiv f_2\tilde{y} + f_2\alpha_1^{-1}\beta_1 \quad (33)$$

and

$$\Big(\dot{\alpha}_1 - (2a + f_2^2)\alpha_1 + l_1\Big)\tilde{y} - b_1^2 m_1^{-1}\alpha_1\tilde{x}_1 - b_2^2 m_2^{-1}\alpha_1\tilde{x}_2 + \dot{\beta}_1 - \Big(a + f_2^2\Big)\beta_1 \\ - (b_1 n_1 + b_2 n_2 + c)\alpha_1 - l_1 k_1 = 0. \quad (34)$$

Plugging (32) into (34), we derive (14). Similarly, we have

$$z_1 = 0, \quad z_2 = f_2\alpha_2^{-1}x_2 \equiv f_2 y + f_2\alpha_2^{-1}\beta_2, \quad (35)$$

$$\Big(\dot{\alpha}_2 - (2a + f_2^2)\alpha_2 + l_2\Big)y - b_1^2 m_1^{-1}\alpha_2\tilde{x}_1 - b_2^2 m_2^{-1}\alpha_2\tilde{x}_2 + \dot{\beta}_2 - \Big(a + f_2^2\Big)\beta_2 \\ - (b_1 n_1 + b_2 n_2 + c)\alpha_2 - l_2 k_2 = 0. \quad (36)$$

Taking $\mathbb{E}\left[\cdot|\mathscr{F}_t^{w_2}\right]$ on both sides of (35) and (36), it yields

$$\tilde{z}_1 = 0, \quad \tilde{z}_2 = f_2\alpha_2^{-1}\tilde{x}_2 \equiv f_2\tilde{y} + f_2\alpha_2^{-1}\beta_2 \quad (37)$$

and

$$\Big(\dot{\alpha}_2 - (2a + f_2^2)\alpha_2 + l_2\Big)\tilde{y} - b_1^2 m_1^{-1}\alpha_2\tilde{x}_1 - b_2^2 m_2^{-1}\alpha_2\tilde{x}_2 + \dot{\beta}_2 - \Big(a + f_2^2\Big)\beta_2 \\ - (b_1 n_1 + b_2 n_2 + c)\alpha_2 - l_2 k_2 = 0, \quad (38)$$

subject to (32). Plugging (32) into (38), we derive (15).

With (32), (25a) is rewritten as

$$\begin{cases} -d\tilde{y} = \big[\big(a + b_1^2 m_1^{-1}\alpha\big)\tilde{y} + f_2\tilde{z}_2 + b_1^2 m_1^{-1}\beta + b_1 n_1 + b_2 n_2 + c\big]dt - \tilde{z}_2 dw_2, \\ \tilde{y}(T) = \mathbb{E}\left(\xi|\mathscr{F}_T^{w_2}\right). \end{cases} \quad (39)$$

Solving it, we get a unique solution

$$\tilde{y}(t) = \mathbb{E}\left(\Gamma_t^T\mathbb{E}\left(\xi|\mathscr{F}_T^{w_2}\right) + \int_t^T \Gamma_t^s\big(b_1^2 m_1^{-1}\beta + b_1 n_1 + b_2 n_2 + c\big)(s)ds\Big|\mathscr{F}_t^{w_2}\right), \quad (40)$$

where

$$\Gamma_t^s = \exp\left\{\int_t^s \big(a + b_1^2 m_1^{-1}\alpha - \frac{1}{2}f_2^2\big)(r)dr + \int_t^s f_2(r)dw_2(r)\right\},$$

and $\alpha = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2$ and $\beta = \beta_1 + \beta_2$ are uniquely given by (14) and (15), respectively. □

4.2 Special asymmetric information

4.2.1 $\mathscr{G}_t^1 = \mathscr{F}_t$ and $\mathscr{G}_t^2 = \mathscr{F}_t^{w_2}$.

In this case, $\mathbb{E}\left(x_1(t)|\mathscr{G}_t^1\right) = x_1(t)$ and $\mathbb{E}\left(x_2(t)|\mathscr{G}_t^2\right) = \tilde{x}_2(t)$. Then we get

Theorem 4.2 *Under (A1), Problem (AI) has a unique Nash equilibrium point denoted by*

$$\begin{cases} u_1(t) = m_1^{-1}(t)b_1(t)\big(\gamma_1(t)y(t) + \gamma_2(t)\tilde{y}(t) + \gamma_3(t)\big) + n_1(t), \\ u_2(t) = m_2^{-1}(t)b_2(t)\big(\alpha_2(t)\tilde{y}(t) + \beta_2(t)\big) + n_2(t). \end{cases} \tag{41}$$

Here $\tilde{y}$ and y are given by as (40) *and* (50) *below, respectively; (α_2, β_2) and $(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3)$ are the solutions to* (15) *and* (49)*, respectively.*

Proof. We complete this proof by two steps.

Step 1: We prove that under (A1), the Nash equilibrium point is uniquely determined by

$$\begin{cases} u_1(t) = m_1^{-1}(t)b_1(t)x_1(t) + n_1(t), \\ u_2(t) = m_2^{-1}(t)b_2(t)\tilde{x}_2(t) + n_2(t), \end{cases} \tag{42}$$

where $\big((y, z_1, z_2), x_1, x_2\big)$ is the solution of the FBSDE

$$\begin{cases} -dy = \Big[ay + b_1^2 m_1^{-1}x_1 + b_2^2 m_2^{-1}\tilde{x}_2 + f_2 z_2 + b_1 n_1 + b_2 n_2 + c\Big]dt - \sum_{j=1}^{2} z_j dw_j, & \text{(43a)} \\ dx_1 = \big[ax_1 - l_1(y - k_1)\big]dt + f_2 x_1 dw_2, & \text{(43b)} \\ dx_2 = \big[ax_2 - l_2(y - k_2)\big]dt + f_2 x_2 dw_2, & \text{(43c)} \\ y(T) = \xi, \quad x_1(0) = -r_1(y(0) - h_1), \quad x_2(0) = -r_2(y(0) - h_2). & \text{(43d)} \end{cases}$$

Similar to Theorem 4.1 (i), we only need to prove the existence and uniqueness of (43). It is easy to see that the optimal filter $\big(\tilde{y}, \tilde{z}_2, \tilde{x}_1, \tilde{x}_2\big)$ of $\big(y, z_2, x_1, x_2\big)$ in (43) still satisfies (25). Thus, $\tilde{y}$ is given by (40), and $\tilde{x}_2$ is uniquely represented by $\tilde{y}$ as shown in (32). Then (43a) with (43b) is rewritten as

$$\begin{cases} -dy = \Big[ay + f_2 z_2 + b_1^2 m_1^{-1}x_1 + b_2^2 m_2^{-1}\alpha_2\tilde{y} + b_2^2 m_2^{-1}\beta_2 + b_1 n_1 + b_2 n_2 + c\Big]dt \\ \qquad\qquad - \sum_{j=1}^{2} z_j dw_j, & \text{(44a)} \\ dx_1 = \big[ax_1 - l_1(y - k_1)\big]dt + f_2 x_1 dw_2, & \text{(44b)} \\ y(T) = \xi, \quad x_1(0) = -r_1(y(0) - h_1). & \text{(44c)} \end{cases}$$

Thanks to Theorem 2.3 in Yu and Ji [16], (44) has a unique solution $\big(y, z_1, z_2, x_1\big)$. Substituting y in (44) into (43c) and (43d), (43c) has a unique solution x_2. Therefore, (43) is uniquely solvable.

Step 2: We verify that the feedback Nash equilibrium point is shown as (41). According to (44a) and (44b) together with the initial condition in (44c), we set

$$x_1 = \gamma_1 y + \gamma_2 \tilde{y} + \gamma_3 \tag{45}$$

with $\gamma_1(0) = -r_1, \gamma_2(0) = 0, \gamma_3(0) = r_1 h_1$. Applying Itô's formula to x_1 in (45), we have

$$\begin{aligned} dx_1 = \Big[(\dot{\gamma_1} - a\gamma_1)\, y + \big(\dot{\gamma_2} - (a + b_1^2 m_1^{-1}\alpha)\gamma_2\big)\tilde{y} - b_1^2 m_1^{-1}\gamma_1 x_1 - b_2^2 m_2^{-1}\gamma_1 \tilde{x}_2 - \gamma_1 f_2 z_2 \\ - \gamma_2 f_2 \tilde{z}_2 + \dot{\gamma_3} - (b_1 n_1 + b_2 n_2 + c)\gamma_1 - (b_1 n_1 + b_2 n_2 + c + b_1^2 m_1^{-1}\beta)\gamma_2 \Big] dt \\ + \gamma_1 z_1 dw_1 + \Big[\gamma_1 z_2 + \gamma_2 \tilde{z}_2\Big] dw_2 \end{aligned} \tag{46}$$

with $\tilde{x}_2 = \alpha_2 \tilde{y} + \beta_2$ and $\tilde{z}_2 = f_2 \tilde{y} + f_2 \alpha_2^{-1}\beta_2$. Comparing (44b) with (46), we get

$$z_1 = 0, \quad z_2 = f_2 y + f_2 \gamma_1^{-1}\gamma_3 - f_2 \gamma_1^{-1}\gamma_2 \alpha_2^{-1}\beta_2, \tag{47}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\Big(\dot{\gamma_1} - (a + f_2^2)\gamma_1 - b_1^2 m_1^{-1}\gamma_1^2\Big) y + \Big(\dot{\gamma_2} - (a + b_1^2 m_1^{-1}\alpha + f_2^2 + b_1^2 m_1^{-1}\gamma_1)\gamma_2 - b_2^2 m_2^{-1}\alpha_2\gamma_1\Big)\tilde{y} \\ &\quad + \dot{\gamma_3} - (f_2^2 + b_1^2 m_1^{-1}\gamma_1)\gamma_3 - (b_1 n_1 + b_2 n_2 + c + b_2^2 m_2^{-1}\beta_2)\gamma_1 \\ &- (b_1 n_1 + b_2 n_2 + c + b_1^2 m_1^{-1}\beta)\gamma_2 = (a\gamma_1 - l_1) y + a\gamma_2 \tilde{y} + a\gamma_3 + l_1 k_1. \end{aligned} \tag{48}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{cases} \dot{\gamma_1} - b_1^2 m_1^{-1}\gamma_1^2 - (2a + f_2^2)\gamma_1 + l_1 = 0, & \text{(49a)} \\ \dot{\gamma_2} - (2a + b_1^2 m_1^{-1}\alpha + f_2^2 + b_1^2 m_1^{-1}\gamma_1)\gamma_2 - b_2^2 m_2^{-1}\alpha_2\gamma_1 = 0, & \text{(49b)} \\ \dot{\gamma_3} - (a + f_2^2 + b_1^2 m_1^{-1}\gamma_1)\gamma_3 - (b_1 n_1 + b_2 n_2 + c + b_2^2 m_2^{-1}\beta_2)\gamma_1 \\ \qquad\qquad - (b_1 n_1 + b_2 n_2 + c + b_1^2 m_1^{-1}\beta)\gamma_2 - l_1 k_1 = 0, & \text{(49c)} \\ \gamma_1(0) = -r_1,\ \gamma_2(0) = 0,\ \gamma_3(0) = r_1 h_1, & \text{(49d)} \end{cases}$$

which has a unique solution $(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3)$. Substituting (45) into (44a), we derive

$$y(t) = \mathbb{E}\left[\xi \Upsilon_t^T + \int_t^T \Upsilon_t^s g_2(s) ds \Big| \mathscr{F}_t\right] \tag{50}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} &\Upsilon_t^s = \exp\left\{\int_t^s \big[g_1(r) - \frac{1}{2} f_2^2(r)\big] dr + \int_t^s f_2(r) dw_2(r)\right\}, \\ &g_1 = a + b_1^2 m_1^{-1}\gamma_1, \\ &g_2 = (b_1^2 m_1^{-1}\gamma_2 + b_2^2 m_2^{-1}\alpha_2)\tilde{y} + b_1^2 m_1^{-1}\gamma_3 + b_2^2 m_2^{-1}\beta_2 + b_1 n_1 + b_2 n_2 + c. \end{aligned}$$

Then the proof is completed. □

Remark 4.1 *The above arguments can also be used to solve the case of* $\mathscr{G}_t^1 = \mathscr{F}_t$ *and* $\mathscr{G}_t^2 = \mathscr{F}_t^{w_1}$. *We omit it here.*

4.2.2 $\mathscr{G}_t^1 = \mathscr{F}_t^{w_1}$ and $\mathscr{G}_t^2 = \mathscr{F}_t^{w_2}$.

For simplicity, we assume

(A2). $f_2(t) = 0$, $t \in [0, T]$, and use the notation $\hat{h}(t) = \mathbb{E}\left(h(t)\big|\mathscr{F}_t^{w_1}\right)$. Then

Theorem 4.3 *Under (A1) and (A2), the feedback Nash equilibrium point of Problem (AI) is uniquely denoted by*

$$\begin{cases} u_1(t) = m_1^{-1}(t)b_1(t)\big(\gamma_1(t)\hat{y}(t) + \gamma_2(t)\mathbb{E}y(t) + \gamma_3(t)\big) + n_1(t), \\ u_2(t) = m_2^{-1}(t)b_2(t)\big(\tau_1(t)\tilde{y}(t) + \tau_2(t)\mathbb{E}y(t) + \tau_3(t)\big) + n_2(t). \end{cases} \tag{51}$$

Here $\mathbb{E}y, \hat{y}$ and $\tilde{y}$ are given below in (58), (60) *and* (63), *respectively; γ_i and $\tau_i\,(i = 1, 2, 3)$ are uniquely determined by* (49) *and* (62) *with f_2 replaced by* 0, *respectively.*

Proof: **Firstly**, we prove under (A1) and (A2), Problem (AI) has a unique Nash equilibrium point determined by

$$\begin{cases} u_1(t) = m_1^{-1}(t)b_1(t)\hat{x}_1(t) + n_1(t), \\ u_2(t) = m_2^{-1}(t)b_2(t)\tilde{x}_2(t) + n_2(t), \end{cases} \tag{52}$$

where $\big((y, z_1, z_2), x_1, x_2\big)$ is the solution of the FBSDE

$$\begin{cases} -dy = \Big[ay + b_1^2 m_1^{-1}\hat{x}_1 + b_2^2 m_2^{-1}\tilde{x}_2 + b_1 n_1 + b_2 n_2 + c\Big]dt - \sum_{j=1}^{2} z_j dw_j, & \text{(53a)} \\ dx_1 = \big[ax_1 - l_1(y - k_1)\big]dt, & \text{(53b)} \\ dx_2 = \big[ax_2 - l_2(y - k_2)\big]dt, & \text{(53c)} \\ y(T) = \xi, \quad x_1(0) = -r_1(y(0) - h_1), \quad x_2(0) = -r_2(y(0) - h_2). & \text{(53d)} \end{cases}$$

Once again, it is enough to prove the existence and uniqueness of the solution to (53). By the method similar to that of Theorem 4.1, the optimal filters $\hat{y}$ and $\hat{x}_1$ of y and x_1 in (53a) and (53b) with respect to $\mathscr{F}_t^{w_1}$ are governed by

$$\begin{cases} -d\hat{y} = \Big[a\hat{y} + b_1^2 m_1^{-1}\hat{x}_1 + b_2^2 m_2^{-1}\mathbb{E}x_2 + b_1 n_1 + b_2 n_2 + c\Big]dt - \hat{z}_1 dw_1, & \text{(54a)} \\ d\hat{x}_1 = \big[a\hat{x}_1 - l_1(\hat{y} - k_1)\big]dt, & \text{(54b)} \\ \hat{y}(T) = \mathbb{E}\left(\xi|\mathscr{F}_T^{w_1}\right), \quad \hat{x}_1(0) = -r_1(\hat{y}(0) - h_1). & \text{(54c)} \end{cases}$$

Here $\mathbb{E}\eta$ stands for the expectation $\mathbb{E}\big(\eta(t)\big)$ of $\eta(t)$. Similarly, we obtain the optimal filters $\tilde{y}$ and $\tilde{x}_2$ of y and x_2, in (53a) and (53c), with respect to $\mathcal{F}_t^{w_2}$ as follows:

$$\begin{cases} -d\tilde{y} = \Big[a\tilde{y} + b_1^2 m_1^{-1}\mathbb{E}x_1 + b_2^2 m_2^{-1}\tilde{x}_2 + b_1 n_1 + b_2 n_2 + c\Big]dt - \tilde{z}_2 dw_2, & \text{(55a)} \\ d\tilde{x}_2 = \big[a\tilde{x}_2 - l_2(\tilde{y} - k_2)\big]dt, & \text{(55b)} \\ \tilde{y}(T) = \mathbb{E}\left(\xi|\mathscr{F}_T^{w_2}\right), \quad \tilde{x}_2(0) = -r_2(\tilde{y}(0) - h_2). & \text{(55c)} \end{cases}$$

On the other hand, $\mathbb{E}x_1$ and $\mathbb{E}x_2$ together with $\mathbb{E}y$ satisfy an ordinary differential equation

$$\begin{cases} -\dot{\mathbb{E}}y = a\mathbb{E}y + b_1^2 m_1^{-1}\mathbb{E}x_1 + b_2^2 m_2^{-1}\mathbb{E}x_2 + b_1 n_1 + b_2 n_2 + c, & \text{(56a)} \\ \dot{\mathbb{E}}x_1 = a\mathbb{E}x_1 - l_1\mathbb{E}y + l_1 k_1, & \text{(56b)} \\ \dot{\mathbb{E}}x_2 = a\mathbb{E}x_2 - l_2\mathbb{E}y + l_2 k_2, & \text{(56c)} \\ \mathbb{E}y(T) = \mathbb{E}\xi, \quad \mathbb{E}x_1(0) = -r_1(\mathbb{E}y(0) - h_1), \quad \mathbb{E}x_2(0) = -r_2(\mathbb{E}y(0) - h_2), & \text{(56d)} \end{cases}$$

where $\dot{\mathbb{E}}\eta$ denotes $\frac{d\mathbb{E}(\eta(t))}{dt}$ for $\eta = y, x_1, x_2$. Using the method shown in Step 2 of Theorem 4.1 again, we conclude that (56) has a unique solution $(\mathbb{E}y, \mathbb{E}x_1, \mathbb{E}x_2)$ under (A1) and (A2)

(see the diffusion degenerate case of Theorem 2.3 in Yu and Ji [16]). Plugging $\mathbb{E}x_2$ and $\mathbb{E}x_1$ into (54) and (55), we conclude that (54) and (55) have the unique solutions $\big((\hat{y},\hat{z}_1),\hat{x}_1\big)$ and $\big((\tilde{y},\tilde{z}_2),\tilde{x}_2\big)$, respectively. For the fixed $\hat{x}_1$ and $\tilde{x}_2$, (53) is decoupled, then it has a unique solution (y,z_1,z_2,x_1,x_2).

Subsequently, we verify that (51) is the feedback Nash equilibrium point. Since the required calculuses are similar to those of Sections 4.1 and 4.2.1, we omit unnecessary technical details, but present key steps for the convenience of the reader.

The relationship between $\mathbb{E}x_i$ and $\mathbb{E}y$ is

$$\mathbb{E}x_i = \alpha_i \mathbb{E}y + \beta_i \qquad (i=1,2), \tag{57}$$

where α_i, β_i, α and β are the unique solutions to (14)-(16) and (19) with $f_i(\cdot)=0$ $(i=1,2)$, and

$$\mathbb{E}y(t) = \bar{\Gamma}_t^T \mathbb{E}\xi + \int_t^T \bar{\Gamma}_t^s \Big[\big(b_1^2(s)m_1^{-1}(s)\beta(s) + b_1 n_1 + b_2 n_2 + c(s)\big)\Big] ds \tag{58}$$

with

$$\bar{\Gamma}_t^s = \exp\left\{ \int_t^s \big[a(r) + b_1^2(r)m_1^{-1}(r)\alpha(r)\big] dr \right\}.$$

The filter $\hat{x}_1$ is written as

$$\hat{x}_1 = \gamma_1 \hat{y} + \gamma_2 \mathbb{E}y + \gamma_3, \tag{59}$$

where $\gamma_i\,(i=1,2,3)$ is the solution to (49) with $f_i(\cdot)=0$ $(i=1,2)$, and

$$\hat{y}(t) = \Xi_t^T \mathbb{E}\left(\xi | \mathscr{F}_t^{w_1}\right) + \int_t^T \Xi_t^s g_3(s) ds \tag{60}$$

with

$$\Xi_s^t = \exp\left\{ \int_t^s \big[a(r) + b_1^2(r)m_1^{-1}(r)\gamma_1(r)\big] dr \right\}$$

and

$$g_3 = \big(b_2^2 m_2^{-1}\alpha_2 + b_1^2 m_1^{-1}\gamma_2\big)\mathbb{E}y + b_1^2 m_1^{-1}\gamma_3 + b_2^2 m_2^{-1}\beta_2 + b_1 n_1 + b_2 n_2 + c.$$

Also, $\tilde{x}_2$ is written as

$$\tilde{x}_2 = \tau_1 \tilde{y} + \tau_2 \mathbb{E}y + \tau_3, \tag{61}$$

where (τ_1,τ_2,τ_3) is the unique solution to

$$\begin{cases} \dot{\tau}_1 - b_2^2 m_2^{-1}\tau_1^2 - 2a\tau_1 + l_2 = 0, & \text{(62a)} \\ \dot{\tau}_2 - \big(2a + b_1^2 m_1^{-1}\alpha + b_2^2 m_2^{-1}\tau_1\big)\tau_2 - b_1^2 m_1^{-1}\alpha_1\tau_1 = 0, & \text{(62b)} \\ \dot{\tau}_3 - (a + b_2^2 m_2^{-1}\tau_1)\tau_3 - (b_1 n_1 + b_2 n_2 + c + b_1^2 m_1^{-1}\beta_1)\tau_1 \\ \qquad\qquad -(b_1 n_1 + b_2 n_2 + c + b_1^2 m_1^{-1}\beta)\tau_2 - l_2 k_2 = 0, & \text{(62c)} \\ \tau_1(0) = -r_2,\ \tau_2(0) = 0,\ \tau_3(0) = r_2 h_2. & \text{(62d)} \end{cases}$$

Then we derive

$$\tilde{y}(t) = \Psi_t^T \mathbb{E}\left(\xi | \mathscr{F}_t^{w_2}\right) + \int_t^T \Psi_t^s g_4(s) ds \tag{63}$$

with

$$\Psi_t^s = \exp\left\{ \int_t^s \big[a(r) + b_2^2(r)m_2^{-1}(r)\tau_1(r)\big] dr \right\}$$

and

$$g_4 = \big(b_2^2 m_2^{-1}\tau_2 + b_1^2 m_1^{-1}\alpha_1\big)\mathbb{E}y + b_1^2 m_1^{-1}\beta_1 + b_2^2 m_2^{-1}\tau_3 + b_1 n_1 + b_2 n_2 + c.$$

Thus, (51) is the feedback Nash equilibrium point. Then the proof is completed. □

5 Concluding remarks

This paper studies an LQ non-zero sum differential game problem, where the information available to the players is asymmetric, and the game system is a BSDE rather than an SDE. Using the filters of FBSDEs and the existence and uniqueness of FBSDEs, we obtain feedback Nash equilibrium points of the game problem with observable information generated by Brownian motions. Also, we prove the uniqueness of the equilibrium points.

Three classes of observable filtrations (see the case (i)-(iii) in Section 3.1) are described to classify the information available to the two players. Although the observable information of the player 2 is same in these three cases, the control policy of the player 2 varies according to the control policy of the player 1. This interesting phenomenon reflects the game behavior of these two players very nicely. The results in Section 4 are based on $f_1(t) = f_2(t) = 0$. If $f_1(t) \cdot f_2(t) \neq 0$, it is difficult to prove the existence and uniqueness of the Nash equilibrium point. We shall come back to this case in a future work.

References

[1] A. Bensoussan, C. C. Siu, S. C. P. Yam and H. Yang, A class of non-zero-sum stochastic differential investment and reinsurance games, Automatica J. IFAC, vol. 50, no. 8, pp. 2025-2037, 2014.

[2] D. Chang and H. Xiao, Linear quadratic nonzero sum differential games with asymmetric information, Mathematical Problems in Engineering, Volume 2014, Article ID 262314.

[3] R. J. Elliott and T. K. Siu, A BSDE approach to a risk-based optimal investment of an insurer, Automatica J. IFAC, vol. 47, no. 2, pp. 253-261, 2011.

[4] S. Hamadène, Nonzero sum linear-quadratic stochastic differential games and backward-forward equations, Stochastic Analysis and Applications, vol. 17, no. 1, pp. 117-130, 1999.

[5] J. Huang, G. Wang and J. Xiong, A maximum principle for partial information backward stochastic control problems with applications, SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization, vol. 48, no. 4, pp. 2106-2117, 2009.

[6] E. Hui and H. Xiao, Differential games of partial information forward backward doubly stochastic differential equations and applications, ESAIM: Control, Optimisation and Calculus of Variations, vol. 20, no. 1, pp. 78-94, 2014.

[7] A. E. B. Lim and X. Y. Zhou, Linear-quadratic control of backward stochatic differential equations, SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization, vol. 40, no. 2, pp. 450-474, 2001.

[8] L. Mou and J. Yong, Two-person zero-sum linear quadratic stochastic differential games by a Hilbert space method, Journal of Industrial and Management Optimization, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 95-117, 2006.

[9] B. Øksendal and A. Sulem, Forward backward stochastic differential games and stochastic control under model uncertainty, Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications, vol. 161, no. 1, pp. 22-55, 2014.

[10] J. Shi, G. Wang and J. Xiong, Leader-follower stochastic differential game with asymmetric Iiformation and aplications, Automatica J. IFAC, vol. 63, no. 1, pp. 60-73, 2016.

[11] G. Wang and Z. Yu, A pontryagins maximum principle for non-zero sum differential games of BSDEs with applications, IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control, vol. 55, pp. 1742-1747, 2010.

[12] G. Wang and Z. Yu, A partial information non-zero sum differential game of backward stochastic differential equations with applications, Automatica J. IFAC, vol. 48, no. 2, pp. 342-352, 2012.

[13] J. Xiong, An introduction to stochastic filtering theory, London: Oxford University Press, 2008.

[14] J. Yong, A leader-follower stochastic linear quadratic differential game, SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization, vol. 41, no. 4, pp. 1015-1041, 2002.

[15] Z. Yu, Linear-quadratic optimal control and nonzero-sum differential game of forward-backward stochastic system, Asian Journal of Control, vol. 14, pp. 173-185, 2012.

[16] Z. Yu and S. Ji, Linear-quadratic nonzero-sum differential game of backward stochatic differential equations, Proceedings of the 27th Chinese Control Conference, July 16-18, Kunming, Yunnan, China, pp. 562-566, 2008

[17] D. Zhang, Backward linear quadratic stochastic optimal control and nonzero-sum differential games problem with random jumps, Journal of Systems Science and Complexity, vol. 24, no. 4, pp. 647-662, 2011.